

6

Folk Rice Varieties, Traditional Knowledge and Nutritional Security in South Asia

Debal Deb

Introduction	118
The Value of Folk Crop	120
TAK and Sustainable Agriculture	123
Nutraceutical Value of Folk Rice Varieties	127
TAK and The Future	128
Acknowledgement	131
Endnotes	131
References	131

ABSTRACT

Indian rice (*Oryza sativa* var. *indica*) is believed to have consisted of more than 100,000 landraces until the advent of the so-called Green Revolution in the 1960s, when most of the traditional folk varieties were replaced with a handful of modern cultivars. The remaining folk varieties – still surviving on marginal farms – are testimony to the amazing capacity for adaptation of local landraces to different abiotic and biotic environmental conditions. They also embody the remote history of farmers' selection of genotypes to suit diverse cultural (including gustatory) preferences. Furthermore, some of these varieties are known to have diverse nutraceutical properties, which the local cultures have recorded in folklore, and conserved *in situ* for generations.

The rice genetic diversity is supplemented and supported by a wide spectrum of diversity at the species and ecosystem levels on-farm. Agrobiodiversity, ensconced in the matrix of local cultural systems has enabled indigenous societies to cope with uncertainties in food availability. A knowledge base, distilled from experiences over centuries that recorded the consequences of different agronomic and cultural practices, constitute the body of Traditional Agricultural Knowledge (TAK). The rich heritage of agrobiodiversity, as well as the associated TAK, is rapidly disappearing in all countries, driven by forces of techno-urban development. TAK used to foster biological and food web architectural diversity in traditional agro-ecosystems, and ensure long-term yield stability of crops, resilience of the agro-ecosystem, and nutritional security for farmer households. As modern crop varieties fail to out-perform local landraces on marginal environmental conditions, our best bet for achieving food security in the face of climate change disasters is to conserve, nurture, and use the heirloom agrobiodiversity and the wealth of TAK.

KEYWORDS

Agro-ecosystem, Biodiversity, Food Security, Landrace, Traditional Knowledge, Techno-urban Development.

INTRODUCTION

Genetic diversity as contained in folk varieties (also called landraces) of rice and its wild relatives provides the bedrock of evolution for cultivated rice (*Oryza sativa*) and its differentiation into various cultivars to adapt to different environments. In South Asia, more than

100,000 folk landraces of the *indica* group of rice were distributed in remote villages (Richharia and Govindasamy 1990; Morishima and Oka 1995), where they coevolved with crop pathogens, pests and their predators. In addition, various gastronomic preferences and culinary practices in different food cultures have engendered genotypic selection and breeding of rice varieties characterised

by wide ranges of cooking time, grain elongation on cooking, stickiness, bran colour, aroma and taste. However, this astounding genetic diversity began to decline in the 1970s when modern High Yielding Varieties (HYVs) were introduced with grain yield enhancement as the primary objective (Shiva 1991; Dwivedi 1997; Deb 2005). Most of the germplasm of the old landraces is now stored in a few gene banks only, not in the hands of farmers. For instance, some 100 landraces grown by the mountain tribes in different villages in Taiwan which were largely tropical Japonica types were collected by the Taichung Agricultural Experimental Station in 1943. These are no longer available in the country (Morishima and Oka 1995). About 5000 rice varieties from the Northeast Indian States were shipped to the International Rice Research Institute (IRRI) in the Philippines in 1965. None of this "Assam Collection" survives in Assam and the adjoining States (Jackson 1994). In West Bengal, over 5500 varieties were recorded to have existed until the 1970s, of which about 3500 varieties were shipped to the IRRI (Deb 2005). In Bangladesh, about 7000 rice varieties were replaced by modern HYVs (Thrupp 2000). Of these, only about 400 varieties survive on marginal farms. Similarly, the number of local varieties has drastically declined in China, Japan and South East Asian countries, owing to a shift to monoculture of modern varieties since the 1970s (Chang 1984; Morishima and Oka 1995; Nguyen 2002; Gao 2003). During the twentieth century, about 75 per cent of crop genetic diversity of the world has been lost, as farmers have abandoned their heirloom varieties for genetically uniform HYVs (Gliessman 2007).

The disappearance of thousands of rice landraces entails an erosion of folk knowledge pertaining to the properties of specific varieties, extinction of many traditional agricultural systems, derangement of food cultures, and pauperization and displacement of marginal farmers. The special arts that evolved with specific rice varieties, for example, the traditional rice cloth of the Philippines, is no longer woven because the rice variety that produced the fibre is no longer in cultivation. Many of the traditional rice delicacies of India are forgotten because the rice varieties with specific culinary traits are no longer available. The economic impact of modernisation is more incisive. Modern upland farmers are no longer growing traditional rice varieties suited to the rain-fed upland because they are motivated to grow modern varieties with subsidised irrigation from pump sets. With an irreversible dependency of the farmer on an external supply of seeds and inputs, the escalating costs of agrochemicals and machinery then compel the farmer to either sell off his land and migrate to cities as a development refugee, or commit suicide, which has become the norm in India in the past decade. With mechanisation of agriculture and the advent of monoculture of rice, the traditional knowledge base for sustaining farm productivity is also lost. The knowledge of adaptations of various local landraces to diverse environmental stresses is forgotten through disuse. With the linear approach of industrial agriculture to grain yield maximisation, associate plants and insects are eliminated with pesticides and herbicides, thereby truncating biodiversity on-farm, making it particularly vulnerable to pest

attacks (Deb 2009c). Thus, agricultural modernisation has occasioned loss of genetic diversity in rice, which in turn has precipitated the erosion of local cultural diversity. Further, the erosion of local cultural identity, through modernisation and homogenisation of local food cultures, has caused disuse and extinction of landraces that were valued for their specific cultural uses.

THE VALUE OF FOLK CROP VARIETIES

Small farmers, as a rule, encounter complex and heterogeneous environment. Crop diversity allows a farmer to grow food in a variety of environments characterised by different soil and qualities, temperature and rainfall regimens, topographies, and exposures to diverse pests and pathogens (NRC 1993; Soleri and Cleveland 2004; Deb 2005; 2009a). The natural resistance of certain crop cultivars to certain pests and diseases, which have developed through a long co-evolutionary process, has been identified as one of the key contributions of heirloom crop genetic diversity to modern agriculture (Hawkes 1983; NRC 1993).

In South Asia, commercialised seed varieties are developed primarily with an object to increasing grain yield, at the expense of diverse characters of farmers' preference, such as drought tolerance, long straw, aroma and taste (Deb 2009b; see Table 6.1). Parastatal and commercial seed companies, therefore, fail to supply seeds of varieties specifically adapted to marginal farm conditions and local cultural needs. There is thus a need to strengthen capacities among farmers and local institutions to produce and

distribute seeds of folk crop varieties that are useful for diverse and evolving farming systems and food cultures.

Within a crop, distinctive traits of landraces allow indigenous farmer-breeders in developing countries to recognise and name individual landraces, create and manage their diversity and to transfer the knowledge of each distinct landrace to other farmers and succeeding generations. Worldwide examples illustrate how indigenous farmers can perceptively identify distinctive traits of seeds and vegetative propagules to maintain genetic purity, distinguish important markers at planting time, identify landraces suitable for planting at particular locations and times, and value landraces for different uses (Soleri and Cleveland 2004; Cleveland and Soleri 2007; Gibson 2009). Farmers in Uttar Pradesh know the different soil, water, and manure requirements of each of their landraces of wheat, finger millet, barnyard millet, soybean, and rice; each one's seed basket being distinctively different (Tiwari and Das 1997).

For example, farmers know the different seed colours of landraces indicate different degrees of drought resistance (Vaughan and Chang 1992), and that chalkiness or translucence of the rice grain indicates glutinous or non-glutinous rice on cooking (Gibson 2009). Several folk varieties, maintained for their distinctive aroma and colours are used for different gastronomic and religious purposes (Deb 2005). Several traditional farmers are able to distinguish varieties by the flowering time, basal leaf sheath colour, flag leaf angle, panicle length, grain size, shape and colour variations, and eliminate the "off types" from the field in order to maintain

Table 6.1 Characteristics of Rice Varieties Desired by Indigenous Farmers in the Philippines and West Bengal, India (after Deb 2009b)

Crop Characteristics	Farmer's Choice (%)*		
	The Philippines [§]	West Bengal	
		Caste Hindu	Santal (tribe)
Good taste	100	100	100
Aroma	100	98	74
Late maturing	54	21	47
Tall stature	48	43	89
High yield	36	86	69
Expands when cooked	18	20	48
White grain	12	56	22
Flood tolerance	9	12	8
Drought tolerance	NR	88	92
Low input level required	9	67	98

Note * in rounded up figures; § from Fujisaka (1999, 63); NR = not reported

genetic purity of the selected landraces. In the Jeypore tract of southern Odisha, traditional farmers grow the Khara rice every three years to “eradicate all mixtures and weed rice from the field” (Mishra and Chaudhury 2010), and this rouging is based simply on the distinctive purple leaves of Khara, in contrast to all other varieties. Thus, the purple Khara rice is grown not only for its aesthetic value, but also employed to periodically cleanse the stock of rice varieties of impurities.

Rice genetic diversity constitutes the ‘use value’ of rice, in diverse environmental and cultural contexts, appreciated and utilised by farmers. However, three other categories – option value, cultural value, and existence value – are also embodied in the rice landraces developed and maintained by traditional

farmers. Option value is the potential benefit of a thing as opposed to actual, present use value (Pearce and Turner 1990). The conservation of diversity has a positive option value, since it keeps options open, as farmers may not know the future benefit or availability of particular varieties today (Brush *et al.* 1992).

A part of the genetic diversity of rice owes its existence to its aesthetic value. Hawkes (1983) argues that the search for beauty has played an important role in the development and maintenance of intraspecific diversity in many crops. Different landraces are cultivated for the aesthetic appeal of their characteristic chromatic patterns on the hull – gold, brown, purple and black furrows on yellow lemma-palea, purple apex, gold base, etc. (Figure 5.1). The Burma




Photo courtesy: Jason Taylor / Vrihi

Figure 5.1 Diverse Colours of Rice Seeds and Decorticated Grains.

Black rice is valued by many farmers and consumers for its black pericarp. Many farmers see beauty in the wing-like extensions of the sterile lemma in Moynatundi rice from Odisha (Figure 5.2) and Ramigali rice from Chhattisgarh, so they maintain these varieties on their farms.



Figure 5.2 Moynatundi Rice from Odisha

Aesthetic appreciation is related to the cultural significance of rice genetic diversity. Apart from the interesting colours of lemma and palea and grain pericarp, aroma has a strong aesthetic appeal. Different aromatic rice varieties are associated with religious ceremonies and cultural festivals in all rice-growing

countries. Several varieties are also grown for their cultural and ritual value. Many small farmers in West Bengal grow *Jamai-sal* rice – even though there is no market for it – in very small areas (about 0.04 ha) because it has a special cultural use – for making a special dish for the son-in-law (*jamai*) at the *Jamai Shashthi* ceremony (Deb 2000; 2005).

Finally, people often value diversity for its own sake (Bellon 1996). Many farmers of the Jeypore tract in Odisha prefer to grow a combination of awned and awnless varieties on their farms, rather than only awned or only awnless varieties, regardless of any particular agronomic benefits. Such preferences may be understood as an existence value for crop diversity. Not only the cultivated landraces, but also wild relatives of rice like *Buno dhan* (*Oryza rufipogon*) and *Uri dhan* (*Hygroriza asiatica*) are associated with certain religious rites, and maintained on many farms in Jharkhand and West Bengal (Watabe 1972; Deb 2005). The availability of large gene pools of wild relatives of crops is becoming even more important as a source of useful traits that can be incorporated into crop cultivars in order to adapt to changing soil and climatic conditions (FAO 2012).

TAK AND SUSTAINABLE AGRICULTURE

Indigenous farmers have an extensive knowledge of ecological, agronomic and consumptive characteristics of crop varieties (Deb 1996; 2005; Soleri and Cleveland 2004). This knowledge is used to make decisions regarding specific farm management patterns, storage of seeds,

culinary use and ritual practices (Bray 1986; Bellon 1996; Deb 1996). Traditional agricultural knowledge (TAK) is a rich repertoire of farmers' experiences that are accumulated from informal farm experiments conducted over generations. TAK constitutes a knowledge commons in traditional agrarian communities, where farmers freely exchange seeds, farming methods, and insights gained from past experiences. Until the advent of seed and agrochemicals market, which limited access to seeds and "inputs", young generations of farmers used to inherit the knowledge of crop varieties and farming techniques from the community's elders and peer groups. This body of knowledge, based on local experiments, observations and innovations, was empirically tested and validated through experiences of farmers at multiple locations and across generations, before being incorporated into the indigenous TAK base of the society. This informal, oral transmission of information, often incorporating local innovations, defines the traditional nature of indigenous knowledge systems (Deb 2009b), which is contrary to the formal, technology-based, centralised knowledge systems of modern agriculture. Indigenous knowledge systems, including TAK, are based on local resources, fine-tuned to local environmental conditions, devoid of external inputs, and are constantly evolving. Cultivation systems and pest management approaches are different in various traditional farming systems in different regions of the country because the differences in indigenous experiences over generations of close contact with nature have evolved sustainable and locally suitable agriculture systems (Chhetry and Belbahri 2009).

Because TAK consists of the average consequences of different farm operations, it is conservative, and provides an average prescription of behaviours and techniques to ensure crop protection against foreseeable disasters. However, it also provides a scope for heuristic learning, experimentation, and innovation, because it cannot exhaust all possible combinations of farm operations and environmental conditions. For example, planting crop X before, after, or along with crop Y; undertaking shallow tillage, deep tillage, or no tillage; removing weeds a week or 2 weeks or a month after transplanting, or no weeding, etc. are decisions that may be influenced by the enormous complexity of farm ecosystems, which vary according to selection of crop species and varieties, edapho-climatic conditions, and cultural and economic particulars of the farm. Each of these decisions, with different crop combinations, will yield novel and distinctive results, which will enrich the repertoire of TAK. A real-life example is in order. A young farmer in Bankura district who used to remove weed grasses from his paddy field soon after the rain every year, was unable to undertake the weeding due to a heavy and prolonged rainfall in 2003. Subsequently, he noticed that the weed grasses like *Cynodon dactylon* and *Brachiaria sub-quadriflora* were effectively suppressed in his inundated paddy field. Based on this experience, "no weeding in a water-logged field" became an additional operational option for this farmer and his peers. Such experiences add to the TAK repertoire of valuable information.

The Green Revolution introduced high input-responsive high-yield varieties (HYVs) that are appropriate only for

irrigated lowland farms (FAO 2002: 57). However, all agricultural institutions and development agencies promoted these new “miracle varieties” and irrigation technology for all type of farms, leading to the demise of local varieties adapted to upland and deep-water paddy farms, and the subsidence of the water table (Shiva 1991; Deb 2009b). The market-based supply of the modern variety seeds turned all farmers into consumers, totally dependent on seed dealers for seeds as well as agrochemicals. Previously, farmers used to meticulously plant selected landraces according to farm soil type (loamy/ sandy) and topography (hill slope/ seasonally flooded lowland/ rain-fed upland). Indigenous farmers in remote areas where agricultural modernisation has not yet changed the landscape continue to carefully distinguish between early and late maturing photoperiod-sensitive varieties while selecting the farm plot for cultivating them. If early maturing varieties are planted, the farm plot must be accessible for harvesting across the neighbouring fields planted with late varieties. Conversely, if the neighbouring farm plots are all planted with early-maturing varieties, the plot planted with a late-maturing variety will be easily accessible to the farmer as well as cattle after the surrounding farms have been harvested, in which case the farmer must make efforts to fence his plot. Resource-poor farmers often plant dense thorny hedges around the farm. Alternatively, the farmer may choose a photoperiod-insensitive late variety and sow it sufficiently early so that its harvesting time synchronises with the neighbours’ varieties that mature earlier.

In contrast with the Green Revolution’s singular focus on cereal yield increase

and its negligence of adverse social and environmental consequences¹ (FAO 2002), the traditional farmer’s objective is to maintain biodiversity linkages and ensure long-term farm productivity, which includes production of diverse crop species and yield of all types of biomass – not just grain. Thus, yields of paddy straw, fodder grass, and leafy vegetables grown on the rice farm are also considered as the farm’s produce, because they are linked to farm work, such as feeding the domestic animals, thatching the roof, and securing nutritional security for the household. In order to achieve sustainable yield of all farm produce, the traditional farmer enhances biodiversity at both the species and genetic levels. Poor farmers of southwestern districts of Bengal grow Kakua, Kaya and Nata rice for their distinctive long awns, which deter depredation from cattle and goats (Deb 2000). Likewise, many traditional farmers prefer rice landraces with erect flagleaf, because graminivore birds cannot perch on them (Deb 2000; 2005). Traditional farmers who practice agro-forestry systems not only maintain a large spectrum of crop diversity, but also plant nitrogen fixing trees such as *Alnus nepalensis* and *Flemingia vestita* to enhance soil fertility. In southwestern Bengal, southern Odisha and Jharkhand, farmers apply leaves of *Cleistanthus collinus* to their farms as green manure prior to transplanting rice, in order to control different insects and fungal pathogens. *C. collinus* is known to control fungi and pest insects like cutworm (Selvamuthukumaran and Arivudainambi 2008).

Maintaining on-farm agricultural diversity is an important trait of traditional farming, in contrast with

modern industrialised monoculture farming. On traditional farms, different plants are sown along the field margins as hedge plants. Ancient ballads of Lakshmi, the goddess of farming in Bengal, Jharkhand and Odisha, commends planting of sprawling trees and shrubs amid the farm field in order to provide perches for predatory birds, for a “blessed harvest” (Deb 2000). Ancient texts also prescribe the planting of sacred trees like *Streblus asper* in the farm field (so as to invite birds to perch), and keystone species like *Ficus religiosa* and *Aegle marmelos*. They also mention a list of crop landraces blessed by Lord Shiva and other divinities (Chakraborty 1995). Barn Owl is the mythical associate of Lakshmi, signifying its paramount role in crop protection. The folkloristic elements express biophilia (love and respect for life) for indigenous societies along the metaphorical corridor (Deb and Malhotra 2001).

Folk rice landraces are also a storehouse of valuable genes for important agronomic traits include submergence tolerance, drought tolerance, osmotic stress tolerance, culm elongation in response to flood water, aroma, and resistance to diverse pests and pathogens. Indigenous farmers of the western, low-rainfall zone of Bengal used to grow Bhutmoori, Bombai mugi, Noichi, Kalo gorah and Kelas, which are drought tolerant and resilient to a wide range of environmental stresses (Deb 2005; Patra and Dhua 2010). Recent screening tests reveal that Kelas and Bhutmoori show a high degree of tolerance to osmotic stresses (Karmakar et al. 2012). Furthermore, these landraces show high genetic similarity (*ibid.*), indicating their common origin from an osmotic

stress-tolerant ancestral stock. Several traditional rice landraces like Jabra, Jal kamini and Harma nona from West Bengal can withstand inundation by stem elongation in response to rise in flood water levels. Other types of flood tolerant landraces, like Ganga siuli and Kalaputia from Odisha (Patra and Dhua 2010) and Baish-bish from Bangladesh can remain alive after a week of submergence. These landraces yield above 1.5 t/ha on inundated rice farms, where no modern landrace can survive.

Thus, traditional crop landraces are often superior to modern cultivars in marginal environmental conditions (Cleveland and Soleri 2007; Deb 2009a). Most traditional upland rice varieties are adapted to non-flooded soils (Atlin et al. 2006), while all traditional deep-water landraces can withstand flooding and has developed a ‘quiescent strategy’ for flash flooding and an ‘escape strategy’ for deep-water flooding (Hattori et al. 2011). Modern rice breeders and agronomists now recognise the immense value of these adaptations in the climate change scenario. Rice geneticists, as well as seed companies, are engaged in “gene mining” – identifying valuable genes for incorporation into modern rice lines. Alongside this corporate gene mining, agricultural modernisation programs are pushing this wealth of rice genetic diversity existing on marginal farms across the continent, to extinction.

Modern rice breeding is actively searching for salinity tolerant landraces, which can supply the genes for breeding new cultivars in coastal flood plains. This particular trait is a major arsenal to adapt our food production systems to the impending disaster from sea water incursion into coastal and estuarine

farmlands. Following the hurricane Aila in 2009, the State agriculture department was unable to provide the Sunderban farmers with any rice variety that could be grown on the salinated farms. The Vrihi seed exchange network² distributed small amount of seeds from our seed bank's repertoire of traditional salinity-tolerant landraces like Lal Getu, Matla, Nona bokra and Talmugur, among a small number of farmers in a few villages of the Sunderban. These were the only seeds which yielded a sizable crop on the salinated farms in that year of disaster (Deb 2009a). Likewise, several folk varieties (like Bhutmoori, Kalo gorah, Kelas and Rangi) rescued several farmers in West Bengal when too late arrival of the monsoon caused a severe drought in 10 districts of West Bengal in 2010. Such disasters prove, time and again, the long term reliability of folk rice varieties, and the sagacity of traditional selection of genotypes.

Agricultural knowledge extends beyond crop selection, breeding, and crop protection. It also includes efficient techniques of harvesting, seed storage and preservation. Rice itself is traditionally used to protect food grains and seeds from insect damage. To protect the germinating seeds from ant predation and fungal infection, indigenous farmers spread charcoal powder on the sown seeds in the nursery. Farmers also mix the germinating seeds with rice bubbles before sowing, in order to protect the seeds from termite attack. A layer of rice bubbles on top of the rice grains stored in a vessel protects the grains, because the pests consume the rice bubble and spare the grains.

The components of sustainability of food production – namely, resilience

of the crop production system to environmental perturbations, crop genetic diversity, and yield stability are all present in the traditional biodiversity-based agricultural systems. Agro-forestry and multiple cropping systems, involving species and crop genetic diversity – through alley cropping, intercropping, hedgerows, cover cropping, crop rotations, fallow cycles and integration of animals – are the most reliable methods of ensuring long-term sustainability of crop production (Gliessman 2007; Deb 2009b). Knowledge of crop and site-specific management of pests and pathogens, methods of soil moisture maintenance, nutrient management, and adaptive responses to climatic vagaries are all stored in TAK, which needs to be retrieved in order to achieve yield stability without decimating biodiversity and driving toxins into the food chain.

NUTRACEUTICAL VALUE OF FOLK RICE VARIETIES

Food security includes nutritional security and easy access of the poor to healthy food. Folk crop varieties can serve to achieve this goal of food security, with both the traditional multiple crop-based diet, and the special varieties rich in specific nutrients. Several folk rice varieties containing iron, riboflavin, and high quantities of labile starch have been identified in South Asia. Pichha vari and Karthigai samba of Tamil Nadu and Dudhsar of West Bengal are traditionally believed to enhance milk production in lactating mothers. Several folk rice varieties, like Kelas and Bhutmoori of West Bengal, are believed to cure

anaemia in women during and after childbirth (Deb 2005). Folk medicine in West Bengal prescribes Parmai-sal for improving growth in children (Deb 2005). Various micro-nutrients like vitamin E (α -tocopherol), the B vitamins (riboflavin, thiamin and niacin), iron, zinc and certain alkaloids have already been identified in a range of rice genetic diversity developed and grown by indigenous farmers over centuries. Considerable amount of β -carotene (provitamin-A) is also found in the bran of some red and black rice varieties from the Philippines, Malaysia, Vietnam and Thailand (Frei and Becker 2004).

In Tamilnadu and Kerala, folk medicine prescribes consumption of Nyavara rice for treating patients suffering from a group of neurotic disorders. This rice is reported to contain oricin, which has neurotherapeutic property (Juliano 1993). In Bengal, Kabiraj-sal rice is recommended for convalescing patients. Garib-sal of Bengal is known in folk medicine to cure gastro-enteric infection, and is now reported to contain considerable quantity of silver (Deb *et al.* 2015) which, in the cooked rice, may plausibly have a therapeutic role in eradicating gut pathogens. There are dozens of such rice landraces with amazing therapeutic properties, known to folk medicine, awaiting detailed investigation and validation, which will benefit modern medicine while ensuring community health and nutritional security.

There is an ostentatious institutional lack of research interest in the nutraceutical properties of folk crop varieties. As a consequence, authentic records of nutritional properties of folk crop varieties are absent while a

plethora of landraces with important micronutrient contents are rapidly disappearing from farm fields. A recent study (Deb *et al.* 2015) reveals that dozens of folk rice varieties are rich in iron and zinc that are important in constituting a healthy diet. At least 67 varieties contain > 20 mg of iron per kg of rice grain, with the highest iron content recorded (131 mg/kg) in Dudh  bolta rice of Bengal. By comparison, the transgenic iron-fortified rice IR68144-2B-2-2-3, developed at the International Rice Research Institute, the Philippines, contains 9.8 mg of iron per kg. Clearly, the folk rice varieties have an immense potential of remedying dietary iron deficiency, without the need to invest in developing novel transgenic iron-fortified rice (Deb *et al.* 2015). Dozens of rice varieties, including those recognised in folk medicine, are also found to be rich in omega 3 fatty acids and anti-oxidants (Ray, Deb and Sarkar 2016 mss communicating).

TAK AND THE FUTURE

After 35 years of the Green Revolution brouhaha, India's National Agriculture Policy (2000) observed:

“The Green Revolution ... by-passed the rain-fed areas, remaining confined primarily to the irrigated tracts.”

Policymakers also realised:

With productivity levels of staple crops in the irrigated areas plateauing off and factor productivity declining it is clear that unless food production in the rain-fed areas increases significantly, food

security may be adversely affected.”

No (Gautam 2008: 14)

Italics With the failure of rice breeding and biotechnology to provide the marginal farmers with any reliable rice germ line, our best bet is the folk rice varieties that are fine-tuned to local environmental conditions. Improvement of productivity on rain-fed farms can be achieved by conserving and intensifying cultivation of folk crop varieties that are selected for their adaptation to marginal environmental conditions.

Over the past two decades, there is a realisation that indigenous knowledge is critical for sustainability. An important milestone was the 1992 Convention on Biological Diversity. Article 8(j) of the Convention calls for signatories to “respect, preserve and maintain knowledge, innovations and practices of indigenous and local communities embodying traditional lifestyles relevant for the conservation and sustainable use of biological diversity.”

Ecologically informed agricultural science and adaptive management can be used to reduce hunger and poverty, to improve rural livelihoods and to facilitate environmentally, socially and economically sustainable development (IAASTD 2009; Phelan 2009). Agroecological strategies incorporating (a) ecological knowledge gained from the historical observation of natural ecosystems, (b) crop and non-crop species diversity on-farm, and (c) a wide crop genetic base constitute an effective design of sustainable food production systems (Gliessman 2007; Carvalho et al. 2011). Such strategies include conservation of heirloom crop diversity, which can bridge the gap between restoring biodiversity

and improving agricultural productivity (Dewi and Gonzalèz 2015). Conservation of local agrobiodiversity can achieve Sustainable Development Goals (United Nations 2015) by restoring biodiversity loss, empowering peasants and farmers, and thereby reducing poverty and hunger. Conservation management and assistance to increase farmers’ access and rights to get and plant seeds can save local knowledge, increase community resilience and insure agriculture productivity.

As mentioned earlier, indigenous farmers used to procure seeds of all crop varieties they required by opening a vibrant exchange network between farmer-cultivators and farmer-breeders. Thus, a farmer visiting friends, kins and relatives would give seeds of certain local landraces as gifts, and receive certain other varieties in exchange. Peculiar characteristics such as extra-long sterile lemma (e.g., Ramigali of Chhattisgarh), grains with double kernel (e.g., Jugal of West Bengal), extra-long (> 30 cm) awn (e.g., Nata and Kaya of West Bengal), purple stem and leaves (e.g., Khara of Odisha), strong aroma (e.g., Kala namak of Uttaranchal), resistance to a particular pest, etc. were greatly valued, procured, and cultivated by farmers from different districts. Each of these rice varieties was replicated in hundreds of farm fields across districts and States, through informal seed exchange network.

Furthermore, traditional farmers tend to abandon and procure different rice varieties, with a fluctuating rate of “varietal turnover”, while the number of varieties grown in the village tend to remain constant (Sirabanchongkran et al. 2004). The fluidity of germplasm use on individual farms in villages that retain

high levels of agrobiodiversity used to be the norm in traditional agrarian communities, until modernisation/industrialisation of agriculture encouraged monoculture of commercially profitable cultivars and truncated the spectrum of genetic diversity.

In an altered traditional agricultural (and cultural) landscape, reinvigorating the culture of seed exchange among farmers in different villages is an urgent task for agronomists, conservationists, and cultural activists alike. Community seed banks³ would access and store the seeds of all available landraces, to be distributed among farmers within a village or in a cluster of villages. However, to ensure *in situ* conservation through cultivation of the evanescent landraces, new generations of farmers ought to be informed about the agronomic utility as well as the intrinsic value of their heirloom crop landraces.

This necessitates deployment of a dedicated team of “activist” students and researchers⁴ – from agricultural institutions as well as outside formal institutions – in a bid to countervail the mainstream “agricultural development” activism.

In situ cultivation of folk crop varieties, rouging of off-types, creation of local seed banks, and distribution and exchange of the seeds among farmers are the best means of maintaining folk crop genetic diversity. In addition, creating market opportunities of local crop varieties may arguably serve to give incentive for farmers to continue to use these varieties and thus to conserve crop diversity through its sustainable use. However, it is difficult to imagine any particular consumer demand

of non-elite rice varieties that are otherwise important or essential for food production in marginal farm conditions. For example, urban consumers need not have any demand for a salinity tolerant rice variety, while the same is the only choice of farmers in coastal areas with frequent sea water incursion. In such cases, raising awareness among the general consumers on the health, nutritional or environmental benefits of consuming certain locally adapted and underutilised crops may promote market opportunities (FAO 2012). However, consumer awareness presupposes and entails recognition and appreciation of environmental, cultural and nutraceutical value of agrobiodiversity maintained in the indigenous agroecosystems. Notwithstanding recognition of the importance of TAK in the Article 8(j) of the Convention on Biological Diversity, a radical change in the mainstream institutional mindset among scientists and policy makers is necessary to recognise the value of TAK and folk crop genetic diversity (Cleveland and Soleri 2007), as a precondition for devising adaptive agronomic strategies to address food production uncertainties, induced by the global climate change (FAO 2009; IAASTD 2009).

The prevalent global meteorological trend warns that disasters driven by climate change – long spells of drought, increased frequency of storms and floods, late rains, soil salination and so on – will severely jeopardise food production in the global South (Solomon et al. 2007; Findell and Delworth 2010; Dai 2011). The only scope for adaptation of agriculture to climate change lies in a rapid shift from monoculture and a narrow genetic base to biodiversity-based agriculture.

To execute this shift is not impracticable because we are fortunate to have millions of indigenous farmers as our contemporaries and compatriots, who are custodians of our heirloom crop varieties, a rich legacy of sustainable agricultural systems, and an immense storehouse of traditional agricultural knowledge.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This is an updated and revised version of paper published in 2014 as Chapter 6 in *Multicultural Knowledge and the University*, edited by Claude Alvares, Multiversity, Goa/ Citizens International, Penang. ISBN 81-85569-82-7.

Photo courtesy: Jason Taylor

ENDNOTE

1. FAO (2002, p. 67) admits the following “shortcomings” of the Green Revolution:
 - “It was heavily geared to the world’s three leading cereal crops, which were suited to its emphasis on maximizing yields. Other crops, including many that are important in sub-Saharan African, such as cassava, millet, sorghum, banana, groundnut and sweet potato, needed a different approach.
 - It was suited only to areas with good soils and water supplies, and largely neglected the more marginal rainfed areas with problem soils and uncertain rainfall.
 - It relied on farmers being able to afford inputs, and did little for poor

smallholders with insufficient funds or access to credit.

- Finally, it largely ignored the possible environmental consequences of high input use, such as the pollution of water and soils with nitrates and pesticides.”
2. <http://www.cintdis.org/vrihi>
 3. Vrihi rice seed bank (www.cintdis.org/vrihi) in Odisha is a model of expanding seed exchange network among farmers and conservationists from different States of India.
 4. I mention “students and researchers” both literally and figuratively, because this task requires involvement of youthful minds who are prepared to learn various components of agroecology and TAK from the indigenous people, while informing them of the new findings of agroecology.

REFERENCES

- Atlin, GN, Lafitte HR, Tao D, Laza M, Amante M and B. Courtois. 2006. Developing rice cultivars for high-fertility upland systems in the Asian tropics. *Field Crops Research* 97: 43-52.
- Dewi, G.A.F.S.R.K. and V.A. González. 2015. GSDR 2015 Brief: Conserving Traditional Seed Crops Diversity, accessed at https://sustainabledevelopment.un.org/content/documents/5739_Conserving%20traditional%20seed%20crops%20diversity.pdf accessed on 1st Oct 2015.
- Bellon , Mauricio R. 1996. The dynamics of crop infraspecific diversity: a

millions of indigenous farmers as our contemporaries and compatriots, who are custodians of our heirloom crop varieties, a rich legacy of sustainable agricultural systems, and an immense storehouse of traditional agricultural knowledge.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This is an updated and revised version of paper published in 2014 as Chapter 6 in *Multicultural Knowledge and the University*, edited by Claude Alvares, Multiversity, Goa/ Citizens International, Penang. ISBN 81-85569-82-7.

ENDNOTES

1. FAO (2002: 67) admits the following “shortcomings” of the Green Revolution:
 - “It was heavily geared to the world’s three leading cereal crops, which were suited to its emphasis on maximizing yields. Other crops, including many that are important in sub-Saharan African, such as cassava, millet, sorghum, banana, groundnut and sweet potato, needed a different approach.
 - It was suited only to areas with good soils and water supplies, and largely neglected the more marginal rainfed areas with problem soils and uncertain rainfall.
 - It relied on farmers being able to afford inputs, and did little for poor smallholders with insufficient funds or access to credit.

- Finally, it largely ignored the possible environmental consequences of high input use, such as the pollution of water and soils with nitrates and pesticides.”
2. <http://www.cintdis.org/vrihi>
 3. Vrihi rice seed bank (www.cintdis.org/vrihi) in Odisha is a model of expanding seed exchange network among farmers and conservationists from different States of India.
 4. I mention “before students and researchers” both literally and figuratively, because this task requires involvement of youthful minds who are prepared to learn various components of agroecology and TAK from the indigenous people, while informing them of the new findings of agroecology.

REFERENCES

- Atlin, G.N., H.R. Lafitte, D. Tao *et al.* 2006. “Developing rice cultivars for high-fertility upland systems in the Asian tropics.” *Field Crops Research* 97: 43-52.
- Bellon, Mauricio R. 1996. “The dynamics of crop infraspecific diversity: a conceptual framework at the farmer level.” *Economic Botany* 50: 26-39.
- Bray, Francesca. 1986. *The Rice Economies: Technology and development in Asian societies*. University of California Press. Berkeley.
- Brush, S.B., J.E. Taylor and M.R. Bellon. 1992. “Biological diversity and technology adoption in Andean potato agriculture.” *Journal of Development Economics* 39:365-387.

- Carvalho, L., G.R. Veldtman, A.G. Shenkute *et al.* 2011. "Natural and within-farmland biodiversity enhances crop productivity." *Ecology Letters*, 14: 251-259.
- Chakraborty, Panchanan. 1995. *Pragadhunik Bangla Sahitya O Sanskriti* [Pre-modern Bengali Literature and Culture, in Bengali]. Sahityalok, Kolkata.
- Chang, T.T. 1984. "Conservation of rice genetic resources: luxury or necessity?" *Science*, 224: 251-256.
- Chhetry, G.K.N. and Lassaad Belbahri. 2009. "Indigenous pest and disease management practices in traditional farming systems in northeast India: A review." *Journal of Plant Breeding and Crop Science* 1(3): 28-38.
- Cleveland, David and Daniela Soleri. 2007. "Farmer knowledge and scientist knowledge in sustainable agricultural development: ontology, epistemology and praxis", in Paul Sillitoe (ed.), *Local Science vs. Global Science: Approaches to indigenous knowledge in international development*, pp. 209-229. Berghahn Books. New York.
- Dai, Aiguo. 2011. "Drought under global warming: a review." *WIREs Climate Change* 2: 45-65.
- Deb, Debal. 1996. "Combining farmer experience and professional knowledge", in *Proceedings of the Workshop on Conservation and Community Copyrights of Folk Crop Varieties*, pp. 31-38. WWF-India Eastern Region. Calcutta.
- . 2000. *Loot Hoye Jay Swadeshbhumi* ["Our Land is Being Plundered" in Bengali]. Utsa Manush. Kolkata.
- . 2005. *Seeds of Tradition, Seeds of Future: Folk Rice Varieties from East India*. Research Foundation for Science Technology & Ecology. New Delhi.
- . 2009a. "Valuing folk crop varieties for agroecology and food security." *Bioscience Resource*. URL: <http://independentsciencenews.org/un-sustainable-farming/valuing-folk-crop-varieties/>
- . 2009b. *Beyond Developmentality: Constructing inclusive freedom and sustainability*. Earthscan. London.
- . 2009c. "Biodiversity and complexity of rice food webs." *The Open Ecology Journal*, 2: 112-129.
- Deb, Debal, S. Sengupta and T. Pradeep. 2015. "A profile of heavy metals in rice (*Oryza sativa* ssp. *indica*) landraces." *Current Science*, 109(3): 407-409.
- Dewi, G.A.F.S.R.K. and V.A. González. 2015. *GSDR 2015 Brief: Conserving Traditional Seed Crops Diversity*. Accessed at <https://sustainabledevelopment.un.org/content/documents/5739Conserving%20traditional%20seed%20crops%20diversity.pdf> accessed on 1st Oct 2015.
- Dwivedi, J.L. 1997. "Conserving genetic resources and using diversity in a flood-prone ecosystem in eastern India" in L. Sperling and M. Loevinsohn (eds), *Using Diversity Enhancing and Maintaining Genetic Resources On-farm*. IDRC. Ottawa.
- FAO. 2002. *World Agriculture Towards 2015/2030: Summary Report*. Food and Agricultural Organization of the United Nations. Rome.
- . 2007. *Adaptation to Climate Change in Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries: Perspective, framework and priorities*. Food and Agricultural Organization of the United Nations. Rome.

- . 2009. *FAO and Traditional Knowledge: The Linkages with Sustainability, Food Security and Climate Change Impact*. Food and Agricultural Organization of the United Nations. Rome.
- . 2012. *Conservation and Sustainable Use under the International Treaty*. Food and Agricultural Organization of the United Nations. Rome.
- Findell, K.L. and T.L. Delworth. 2010. "Impact of common sea surface temperature anomalies on global drought and pluvial frequency." *Journal of Climatology*, 23: 485–503.
- Fujisaka, Sam. 1999. "Side-stepped by the Green Revolution: farmers' traditional rice cultivars in the uplands and rainfed lowlands", in G. Prain, S. Fujisaka and M.D. Warren (eds), *Biological and Cultural Diversity: The role of indigenous agricultural experimentation in development*, pp. 50–63. Intermediate Technology Publications. London.
- Gao, L.Z. 2003. "The conservation of Chinese rice biodiversity: genetic erosion, ethnobotany and prospects." *Genetic Resources and Crop Evolution*, 50: 17–32.
- Gautam, P.L. 2008. *Addressing the Emerging Challenges in Indian Agriculture*. DOR, Indian Council of Agricultural Research. Hyderabad. <http://krishikosh.egranth.ac.in/bitstream/1/19172/1/DOR69.pdf>.
- Gibson, Richard W. 2009. "A review of perceptual distinctiveness in landraces including an analysis of how its roles have been overlooked in plant breeding for low-input farming systems." *Economic Botany*, 63: 242–255.
- Gliessman, Stephen B. 2007. *Agroecology: The ecology of sustainable food systems*. CRC Press. Boca Raton/London.
- Hattori, Yoko, Keisuke Nagai and Motoyuki Ashikari. 2011. "Rice growth adapting to deepwater." *Current Opinion in Plant Biology*, 14: 100–105.
- Hawkes, J.R. 1983. *The Diversity of Crop Plants*. Harvard University Press. Cambridge, MA.
- IAASTD. 2009. *Agriculture at a Crossroads*. International Assessment of Agricultural Knowledge, Science and Technology for Development (Synthesis Report). Washington, DC: Island Press.
- Jackson, N.E. 1994. "Preservation of rice genes." *Nature*, 371: 470.
- Juliano, Bienvenido O. 1993. *Rice in Human Nutrition*. FAO/IRRI. Rome.
- Karmakar, Joydip, Rajib Roychowdhury, Rup Kumar Kar, Debal Deb and Narottam Dey. 2012. "Profiling of selected indigenous rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) landraces of Rarh Bengal in relation to osmotic stress tolerance." *Physiology and Molecular Biology of Plants*, 18: 125–132.
- Mishra, Smita and Susanta S. Chaudhury. 2010. "Landraces of rice: traditional and participatory conservation system in Jeypore tract of Orissa," in S.D. Sharma (ed.), *Genetic Resources of Rice in India*, pp. 557–585. Today and Tomorrow's Printers & Publishers. New Delhi.
- Nguyen, Van Nguu (ed.). 2002. *Genetic Diversity in Rice Production*. FAO. Rome.
- NRC (National Research Council, USA). 1993. *Managing Global Genetic Resources: Agricultural Crop Issues and Policies*. National Academy Press. Washington, D.C.
- Patra, B.C. and S.R. Dhua. 2010. "Rice germplasm at Central Rice Research

- Institute: exploration, collection, conservation and utilisation,” in S.D. Sharma (ed.), *Genetic Resources of Rice in India*, pp. 47-77. Today and Tomorrow's Printers & Publishers. New Delhi.
- Phelan, P. Larry. 2009. “Ecology-based agriculture and the next Green Revolution: Is modern agriculture exempt from the laws of ecology?”, in P.J. Bohlen and G. House (eds), *Sustainable Agroecosystem Management: Integrating ecology, economics, and society*, pp. 97-135. CRC Press: Boca Raton.
- Richharia, R.H. and S. Govindasamy. 1990. *Rices of India*. Academy of Development Science. Karjat.
- Selvamuthukumar, T. and S. Arivudainambi. 2008. “Insecticidal properties of certain leaf fractions of *Cleistanthus collinus* (Roxb.) Benth. (Family: Euphorbiaceae) against *Spodoptera litura* Fab. (Noctuidae: Lepidoptera).” *Plant Archives*, 8(2): 731-733.
- Shiva, Vandana. 1991. *The Violence of the Green Revolution*. Third World Network. Penang.
- Sirabanchongkran, A., N. Yimyam, W. Boonma et al. 2004. “Varietal turnover and seed exchange: implications for conservation of rice genetic diversity on-farm.” *International Rice Research News*, 29(2): 18-20.
- Soleri, Daniela and David Cleveland. 2004. “Farmer selection and conservation of crop varieties,” in *Encyclopedia of Plants and Crop Science*, pp. 433-438. Marcel Dekker Inc. New York.
- Solomon, S., D. Qin, M. Manning et al. (eds) 2007. Contribution of Working Group I to *The Fourth Assessment Report of the International Panel on Climate Change Program*. Cambridge University Press. Cambridge.
- Thrupp, Lori Ann. 2000. “Linking agricultural biodiversity and food security: the valuable role of sustainable agriculture.” *International Affairs*, 76 (2): 265-281.
- Tiwari, R. and A. Das. 1997. “Documentation of local crop varieties: evolving a participatory methodology”, in L. Sperling and M. Loevinsohn (eds), *Using Diversity Enhancing and Maintaining Genetic Resources On-farm*. IDRC. Ottawa. URL: http://www.idrc.ca/en/ev-9290-201-1-DO_TOPIC.html.
- United Nations. 2015. *Sustainable Development Goals*. UN Division of sustainable Development. New York. <https://sustainabledevelopment.un.org/topics> accessed on 1st Oct 2015.
- Watabe, T. (ed.). 1972. *Origin and Alteration of Cultivated Rice in the Indian Sub-continent*. Vol. 1. Tottori University. Japan.